## Econ 411-3 Problem Set 3

## Due Thursday, May 23<sup>1</sup>

**Problem 1: balanced-budget multiplier in HA model for different incidence of taxes.** Start with the calibration that we used for fiscal policy in lecture 8 (you can see this in lecture 8 figures.ipynb). We've assumed that taxes reduce everyone's after-tax income proportionally, both in steady state and at the margin.

Now assume that, *only at the margin*, changes in taxes work differently. In particular, suppose that when there is some change in tax revenue  $dT_t$  at date t, that this:

- (a) is assessed on all households in a lump-sum way, or
- (b) is only assessed on the household type with the highest productivity

Assumptions (a) and (b) should each imply a different  $\mathbf{M}^T$  matrix that appears in a modified intertemporal Keynesian cross  $d\mathbf{Y} = d\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{M}^T d\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{M} d\mathbf{Y}$ . In each case, calculate this matrix<sup>2</sup>, and then solve for the impulse response  $d\mathbf{Y}$  to a "balanced-budget" government spending shock that is given by  $dG_t = dT_t = \rho^t$ , where  $\rho = 0.9$ .

How does the response of output differ in each case compared to our balanced-budget benchmark, which was that (for the standard IKC where  $\mathbf{M}^T = \mathbf{M}$ ) the multiplier is exactly 1? What do you think is the reason for this difference?

**Problem 2: monetary policy with delayed overreaction.** Suppose that we live in a world with sticky expectations, where after the arrival of the MIT shock at date 0, households only are visited by the Calvo "updating expectations" fairy with iid probability  $1 - \theta$  each period—so that the probability that a household has updated its expectations to reflect the shock at date *t* is  $1 - \theta^{t+1}$ .

So far, this is the same as our "sticky expectations" example from class. Now add the following twist: once the Calvo fairy visits them, households don't adopt rational expectations, but instead *overreact*, believing that all future variables will deviate from steady state by some factor  $\lambda > 1$  more than they actually will.

- (a) What does the **E** matrix look like for this specification of expectations, for arbitrary  $\theta$  and  $\lambda$ ? (We continue to assume that households have full knowledge of current and past variables, so that the lower triangle of **E** is all 1s.)
- (b) Now consider the same calibration we used in lecture 9, again with  $\theta = 0.8$ , but now also with  $\lambda = 1.2$ . What does the output response to a "forward guidance" shock that cuts the real interest rate at date 20 look like, and how does it compare to the FIRE ( $\theta = 0$ ) and the ordinary sticky expectations ( $\lambda = 1$ ) cases?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>You can work in groups of up to four; you only have to submit once per group, but remember to list all members of the group when submitting. Please email solutions to Jose Lara (joselara@u.northwestern.edu), including whatever code you used to produce them. You may want to do much or all of the problem set in the form of a Jupyter notebook. For this problem set, you are free to reuse any code that has been posted for the lectures on Canvas as part of your solution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>To figure out how to do this, you'll probably need to take a look at how the jacobian() function in sim\_fake\_news.py is called.